arXiv:1410.7814v2 [hep-th] 13 Jan 2015

On Discrete Symmetries and Torsion Homology in F-Theory

Christoph Mayrhofer,[1] Eran Palti,[2] Oskar Till,[2] and Timo Weigand[2]

[1] *Arnold-Sommerfeld-Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany*
[2] *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Germany*

We study the relation between discrete gauge symmetries in F-theory compactifications and torsion homology on the associated Calabi-Yau manifold. Focusing on the simplest example of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, we show that there are two physically distinct ways that such a discrete gauge symmetry can arise. First, compactifications of M-Theory on Calabi-Yau threefolds which support a genus-one fibration with a bi-section are known to be dual to six-dimensional F-theory vacua with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge symmetry. We show that the resulting five-dimensional theories do not have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry but that the latter emerges only in the F-theory decompactification limit. Accordingly the genus-one fibred Calabi-Yau manifolds do not exhibit torsion in homology. Associated to the bi-section fibration is a Jacobian fibration which does support a section. Compactifying on these related but distinct varieties does lead to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in five dimensions and, accordingly, we find explicitly an associated torsion cycle. We identify the expected particle and membrane system of the discrete symmetry in terms of wrapped M2 and M5 branes and present a field-theory description of the physics for both cases in terms of circle reductions of six-dimensional theories. Our results and methods generalise straightforwardly to larger discrete symmetries and to four-dimensional compactifications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Discrete symmetries not only play a prominent role in particle physics as selection rules governing the structure of interactions, but they are also interesting by themselves from the perspective of quantum field theory. Indeed, the conjecture that in quantum gravity no global continuous symmetries exist is believed to apply also to global discrete symmetries [1]. String theory as a candidate for a quantum theory of gravity is therefore a natural arena to study discrete symmetries and their emergence from gauge symmetries at high energy scales as believed to be required for consistency with black hole physics. This question has received a lot of recent attention in the context of compactifications of type II string and M-theory [2–10]. If we focus for definiteness on type IIA compactifications on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold X_3, the appearance of a closed string Ramond-Ramond (RR) $\mathbb{Z}_k$ symmetry is in one-to-one correspondence with the existence of torsional (co)homology groups on X_3 [2]. Indeed, the smoking gun for a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ symmetry in four-dimensional field theory is the existence of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ charged particles and strings [1]. While in field theory these arise a priori as operators describing the associated probe particles and strings, in quantum gravity all such operators are conjectured to be realized a forteriori as physical objects. In type IIA compactifications these $\mathbb{Z}_k$ charged particles and strings are due to wrapped D2- and D4-branes along k-torsional 2- and 3-cycles. By definition, k copies of such k-torsional cycles are homologically trivial, in agreement with the fact that k copies of the $\mathbb{Z}_k$ charged particles and strings are uncharged and can thus decay [2]. Furthermore, the existence of such torsional 2- and 3-cycles implies the existence of a torsional 3-form α with the property

$$k\,\alpha = d\mathrm{w}. \tag{1}$$

Dimensional reduction of the RR 3-form C_3 as $C_3 = A \wedge \mathrm{w} + \ldots$ gives rise to a massive $U(1)$ gauge potential A whose associated gauge symmetry is in fact broken to $\mathbb{Z}_k$, which is precisely the discrete symmetry observed in the effective action. Thus a closed string $\mathbb{Z}_k$ symmetry in type IIA on X_3 manifests itself geometrically in the fact that [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tor}H_2(X_3,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}H_3(X_3,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_k, \\ \mathrm{Tor}H^3(X_3,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}H^4(X_3,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_k. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

More recently, the origin of discrete symmetries has been studied in the framework of F-theory compactifications [11–16].[1] One way how discrete symmetries arise turns out to be from F-theory compactifications on genus-one fibrations without a section [11]. More precisely, if a genus-one fibration possesses only a multi-section of order k (as opposed to a rational section), this manifests itself generically in a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ symmetry of the F-theory effective action. In view of the geometric realization of closed string discrete symmetries in type II theory sketched above, a natural question is whether torsional (co)homology plays any role in this picture.

In this note we answer this question and along the way clarify a number of open questions and puzzles concerning both the geometry and the field theory underlying the class of discrete symmetries in F-theory studied so far in [11–16]. For concreteness we will be working in the context of the simplest possible type of discrete symmetry, a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, but our conclusions immediately extend to larger discrete symmetry groups.

Associated with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in the effective action of F-theory compactified to $2n$ large dimensions is a pair of related fibrations P_Q and P_W which are genus-one fibrations over a base B_{5-n} of complex dimension

[1] Non-abelian discrete symmetries in F-theory spectral cover models have been discussed in [17] and references therein.

$5-n$. The fibration P_Q only possesses a bi-section [11], while P_W is the singular Jacobian of P_Q which does exhibit a zero-section. More generally, a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ symmetry is related to a set of k isomorphism classes of genus-one fibrations with the same Jacobian, classified by the Tate-Shafarevich group of the latter. As we will discuss in great detail extending the results of [12, 16], both fibrations give rise to identical compactifications in $2n$ dimensions, but when compactifying M-theory on either of them to $2n-1$ dimensions, the theories are strikingly different. This difference of the underlying M-theory compactification will be shown to correspond, amongst other things, to the presence of torsional (co)homology on the Jacobian fibration P_W, which is absent on P_Q. For simplicity we will mostly focus on the case $n = 3$ in the sequel (with the exception of the end of section II), which corresponds to F-theory and M-theory compactifcations to six and five dimensions, respectively. We will see how the two different M-theory compactifications give rise to the same effective F-theory model in six dimensions by taking into account that the Higgs field which breaks to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry can have a spatially varying vacuum expectation value along the circle relating the six and five-dimensional theories. This will offer a dual perspective on, and allow us to derive directly quantitative properties of, the observation first made in [18], and subsequently substantially developed further in [13, 15], that F-theory compactifications without a section are dual in terms of a fluxed circle reduction to M-theory.

This field theoretic picture for F/M-theory on a genus-one fibration P_Q without section will be developed in chapter II. At the end of this section we also comment further on the G_4 flux in four-dimensional compactifications introduced for these models in [16]. We then analyse in section III the different geometric and physical properties of F/M-theory on the Jacobian fibration P_W. We explictly identify torsional 3-cycles and analyse a birational blow-up of P_W which allows us to deduce also the expected torsional 2-cycles. More details on this computation can be found in the appendix.

II. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ SYMMETRY FROM GENUS-ONE FIBRATIONS

The first type of geometry, P_Q, is a genus-one fibration whose fibre takes the form of a quartic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{112}$ with homogenous coordinates $[u : v : w]$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_Q =& w^2 + b_0u^2w + b_1uvw + b_2v^2w + c_0u^4 \\ &+ c_1u^3v + c_2u^2v^2 + c_3uv^3 + c_4v^4. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The coefficients are sections of suitable line bundles over the base B_2, which we take, for the time-being, to be two-complex-dimensional. As first discussed in detail in [11], this fibration does not possess a section, but only a bi-section

$$U_{\rm bi} : \{u = 0\} \tag{4}$$



FIG. 1. The fibre structure over the singlet curves C_I and C_{II} taken from [16] with blue denoting the section S and green the section U.

intersecting the generic fibre in two points exchanged by monodromies along a branch cut on B_2. For generic coefficients the fibration contains a smooth I_2-fibre over a specific co-dimension-two locus C on the base B_2. Each of the two fibre components A_C and B_C over C are intersected by the bi-section once. M2-branes wrapping the two fibre components give rise, in the F-theory limit, to massless states with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-charge 1 mod 2. This $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charge manifests itself explicitly as a selection rule governing the Lagrangian and becomes particularly effective in the presence of extra non-abelian gauge groups [12–16].

The appearance of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetry in the six-dimensional effective theory has been understood in [12–16] as the effect of the Higgsing of a six-dimensional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry with a Higgs field of charge 2.[2] Let us briefly review this six-dimensional picture. The unhiggsed theory arises by F-theory compactification on a related elliptic fibration $\hat{P}_Q$ with Mordell-Weil group of rank one, given by [20]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_Q =& sw^2 + b_0s^2u^2w + b_1suvw + b_2v^2w + \\ &+ c_0s^3u^4 + c_1s^2u^3v + c_2su^2v^2 + c_3uv^3. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Compared to (3), the coefficient c_4 vanishes; the hypersurface $P_Q|_{c_4\equiv 0}$ acquires a conifold singularity along the co-dimension four locus $w = u = b_2 = c_3 = 0$. These conifold singularities admit a small resolution by blowing up the ambient space, thereby introducing the exceptional divisor $S : s = 0$. The resulting fibration $\hat{P}_Q$ is therefore described by a $\mathrm{Bl}^1\mathbb{P}_{112}[4]$-fibration over the base and has two independent rational sections S and U. To avoid confusion we will reserve the notation U for the section $U : u = 0$ on $\hat{P}_Q$, in contrast to the bi-section $U_{\rm bi}$ on P_Q. In F-theory on $\hat{P}_Q$, the six-dimensional $U(1)$ symmetry arises by duality with M-theory upon expanding $C_3 = A \wedge \mathrm{w}$ with w the Poincaré dual of the image of the rational section S under the Shioda map, where the image of S is $S - U - (b_2 + \bar{\mathcal{K}})$. The fibre splits over two different co-dimension-two loci C_I and C_{II} into

[2] See [19] for a recent discussion of non-abelian Higgsing in F-theory.

	A_I	B_I	A_{II}	B_{II}
S	-1	2	0	1
U	1	0	1	0
$S+U$	0	2	1	1
$S-U$	-2	2	-1	1

TABLE I. $U(1)$ charges of M2-branes wrapping fibre components in M-theory compactified on $\hat{P}_Q$.

two rational curves A_I, B_I and, respectively, A_{II}, B_{II} as depicted in FIG. 1. Our notation is such that the fibre components A_I and A_{II} are intersected by the section U. The locus $C_I = \{b_2 = 0\} \cap \{c_3 = 0\}$ is the locus of the conifold singularities in $P_Q|_{c_4\equiv 0}$, while the locus C_{II} is associated with a more complicated prime ideal. Note that the locus C on P_Q is the remnant of C_{II} after switching on c_4. The intersection numbers of the fibre components with the independent sections S and U are also summarized in TABLE I. The intersection number with $S-U$ is the charge of M2-branes wrapping the various fibre components with respect to the six-dimensional massless $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. Thus, the Higgs field of charge ± 2 with respect to the six-dimensional $U(1)$ symmetry is associated with an M2-brane wrapping either of the fibre components over the locus C_I. The conifold transition from $\hat{P}_Q$ to P_Q corresponds to giving the Higgs field with charge -2 a VEV, thereby breaking the six-dimensional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry to $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

The appearance of this $\mathbb{Z}_2$ discrete symmetry in F-theory as reviewed above leads to a puzzle: Following the field theoretic arguments of [1], we expect sets of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged particles and, since we are working in six spacetime dimensions, also sets of dual (3+1)-dimensional operators. Adapting the logic of [2] to the case at hand these should come from M2- and M5-branes wrapped on torsional 2- and 3-cycles, respectively. However, for generic base spaces B_2 the fibration P_Q does not possess any such torsion elements as follows from the general analysis of integral cohomologies of toric hypersurfaces in [21].

We now resolve the puzzle about the absence of torsion on P_Q by carefully identifying the various $U(1)$ symmetries and the Higgs field, in particular regarding the five-dimensional versus six-dimensional fields. Let us consider M-theory compactified on $\hat{P}_Q$ to five spacetime dimensions. In five dimensions, both independent sections S and U give rise to a bona fide $U(1)$ gauge symmetry from expanding C_3.[3] The intersection numbers in TABLE I then compute the charges of M2-branes wrapping the various fibre components over C_I and C_{II}.

The deformation process that relates $\hat{P}_Q$ with P_Q corresponds to first blowing down the exceptional divisor S and then switching on c_4,

$$\hat{P}_Q \to P_Q|_{c_4\equiv 0} \to P_Q. \tag{6}$$

[3] We ignore further $U(1)$ symmetries due to elements of $H^{1,1}(B_2)$ as these play no role in our considerations.

Importantly, on the singular fibration $P_Q|_{c_4\equiv 0}$ the fibre A_I shrinks to zero size while all other fibres remain of finite volume. In particular the volume of A_{II} and B_{II} are equal and non-zero [12, 16] and, as further stressed in [16], it is the M2-branes wrapping A_I that become massless. The associated hypermultiplet serves as the massless Higgs field which obtains a VEV upon switching on c_4. Since the six-dimensional $U(1)$ corresponds to the combination $S-U$ and the Higgs field is uncharged under $S+U$, one might be tempted to work in the basis $U(1)_{S-U}$ and $U(1)_{S+U}$ and naively conclude that in the five-dimensional field theory this deformation higgses $U(1)_{S+U} \times U(1)_{S-U} \to U(1)_{S+U} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. However the correct prescription for determining the discrete symmetry is to bring the Higgs, via a unimodular transformation, into a basis where it is only charged under a single $U(1)$, cf. appendix A of [16]. It is simple to check that the appropriate basis is $U(1)_{S+U}$ and $U(1)_U$. Since the Higgs field has charge $(0,1)$ under these symmetries, the gauge symmetry breaking in five dimensions is

$$U(1)_U \times U(1)_{S+U} \to U(1)_{S+U}. \tag{7}$$

This explains the absence of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-torsion (co)homology on P_Q: In the five-dimensional effective theory obtained by dimensional reduction of M-theory on P_Q no discrete gauge symmetry arises. Similarly, and again consistently with absence of torsion on P_Q, compactification of type II theory on P_Q gives rise to a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ effective theory with gauge group $U(1)_{S+U}$ and no discrete RR symmetry.

This conclusion, in turn, calls for an explanation of the fact that in the six-dimensional F-theory compactification on P_Q there *is* a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry while in the five-dimensional M-theory compactification the gauge group is $U(1)_{S+U}$. To understand this one must analyse the reduction of the six-dimensional theory on a circle. Consider the five-dimensional theory obtained from M-theory on $\hat{P}_Q$, i.e. before the Higgsing. This theory has two $U(1)$ symmetries $U(1)_U$ and $U(1)_{S-U}$. This particular basis is the appropriate one to interpret $U(1)_U$ as the Kaluza-Klein $U(1)$ coming from the metric upon reducing the six-dimensional theory on a circle and $U(1)_{S-U}$ as the zero mode of the six-dimensional $U(1)$: Indeed the tower of states constructed by wrapping the full elliptic fibre n times generates the full integer charges for $U(1)_U$ and all have equal charges under $U(1)_{S-U}$, as expected from a KK tower. Now since the Higgs field has charge 1 under $U(1)_U$ it is a first excited KK mode (see [13, 15, 16] for discussions on this point). The important point is that this implies that the background has a vacuum expectation value for the Higgs which is spatially varying along the circle. This mixes the geometric action on the wavefunctions associated to translations along the circle with the internal gauge symmetry. Since it is a first excited KK mode but has charge -2 under the six-dimensional $U(1)_{S-U}$ the remaining symmetry

$$U(1)_{S+U} = U(1)_{S-U} + 2U(1)_U \tag{8}$$

corresponds to moving at twice the rate along the circle as along the internal $U(1)$. In particular, it means that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup of $U(1)_{S-U}$, i.e. a shift in phase by π, takes us a full path around the circle. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is therefore actually a five-dimensional symmetry and becomes a subgroup of the remnant five-dimensional symmetry $U(1)_{S+U}$ constructed from $U(1)_U$ and the zero mode of $U(1)_{S-U}$. To understand why in the six-dimensional theory only the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry remains, consider decompactification of the circle to a line. We should think of the action of going around the circle part of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ as a map to the point at infinity added to the real line to make the circle. Upon decompactification this point is removed and what remains is just the action of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup of the six-dimensional $U(1)_{S-U}$. Another way to think about it is that the wavefunction of the first KK mode becomes flat and we have the usual Higgsing of a $U(1)$ with a constant vacuum expectation value.

A related way to see the emergence of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in F-theory is as follows: A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry means that two copies of a state of charge 1 can decay to the vacuum. Consider two copies of the state associated with an M2-brane along A_C on P_Q, where we recall that on P_Q, over the point set C the fiber splits into two fiber components A_C and B_C. These are related to A_{II} and B_{II} on $\hat{P}_Q$. In homology $[A_C] = [B_C]$ and thus this pair of states is equivalent to an M2-brane along $[A_C] + [B_C] = [T^2]$. From the perspective of five-dimensional M-theory a state along T^2 carries KK charge and is thus different from the vacuum, while in the six-dimensional F-theory such a state is equivalent to the vacuum. Put differently, the relation $[A_C] = [B_C]$ implies that $2[A_C] = [T^2]$, i.e. A_C is 2-torsion in $H_2(P_Q, \mathbb{Z})/[T^2]$. Thus while no torsion arises in $H_2(P_Q, \mathbb{Z})$ on a bi-section fibration such as P_Q, torsion *modulo the fiber class* does appear and guarantees a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in F-theory, but not in M-theory.[4]

The above picture of Higgsing has a nice reformulation in terms of a Stückelberg mechanism. The usual way to write the Higgs field is as a modulus and a phase, $\phi = he^{ic}$, where the phase part is associated with an axion c. Since the Higgs is a first KK mode, it depends on the circle coordinate y as e^{iy}, which implies a linear profile for the axion field. The field therefore has an associated flux when integrated over the circle. The fact that the F-theory T-dual perspective to the M-theory geometry should be a fluxed reduction along a circle was first noted in [18]. More recently, in [13, 15], this was developed further and applied to bi-section models. In particular the duality with the flux reduction was shown to reproduce the expected lower-dimensional physics including the Chern-Simons terms. The flux then breaks the KK $U(1)_U$ while the fact that the Higgs has charge -2 under the six-dimensional $U(1)_{S-U}$ means that the axion couples to it with coefficient 2 and (linearly) breaks it. The resulting five-dimensional $U(1)$ is then the combination that remains of the zero mode of $U(1)_{S-U}$ and $U(1)_U$ as discussed above.

In the next section we will present the M-theory geometric perspective on this breaking and the particular point of why in M-theory no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry remains, while in F-theory a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry does remain. To summarise there are 3 dual perspectives on the same physics of the breaking: the linear Higgs field theory (open string picture), the non-linear or Stückelberg mechanism (closed string picture)[5], and the M-theory geometry (T-dual picture). Note that these are really dual rather than co-existing effects.

Our analysis immediately generalises to compactifications of F/M-theory to four and, respectively, three dimensions on fibrations over a three-complex dimensional base B_3. Among the novelties compared to the six- and five-dimensional case is the appearance of G_4-flux as analysed in [16]. On P_Q a G_4-flux of the form

$$G_4(P) = [\sigma_1] - \frac{1}{2}\, U_{\rm bi} \wedge P \tag{9}$$

will in general compensate for the change in the Euler characteristic from $\hat{P}_Q$ to P_Q, analogously to the previously discussed conifold transitions in F/M-theory of [23–25]. In (9)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &= \{u = 0\} \cap \{w = 0\} \cap \{\rho = 0\}, \\ \sigma_1 &= \{u = 0\} \cap \{w = -b_2 v^2\} \cap \{\rho = 0\} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

are four-cycles on P_Q described as complete intersections in the ambient $\mathbb{P}_{112}$-fibration over B_3 and the flux G_4 fixes the complex structure such that $c_4 = \rho\,\tau$ and $P : \{\rho = 0\}$.[6] Let us briefly describe the effect of this G_4 on the massless spectrum of the compactification due to M2-branes wrapping the fibre components over the locus C. In M-theory compactified on P_Q to three dimensions the charges of the states arising from M2-branes along A_C and B_C with respect to the surviving $U(1)_{S+U}$ can be read off from TABLE I by identifying $S + U$ on $\hat{P}_Q$ with $U_{\rm bi}$ on P_Q. Since states from A_C and B_C carry the same quantum numbers, counting the total number of charged zero-modes requires adding up the zero-mode excitations from both fibre components. The integrals

$$\int_{A_C} G_4 = \frac{1}{2}\int_C P, \qquad \int_{B_C} G_4 = -\frac{1}{2}\int_C P \tag{11}$$

count the separate chiral index of states on A_C and B_C. Note that both quantities are integer because the homology class of C is even. Adding up both contributions

[4] This is to be contrasted with the effect of k-torsional elements in the Mordell-Weil group of rational sections, which, as shown in [22], give rise to k-torsional *divisors* modulo certain resolution divisors associated with the appearance non-abelian gauge symmetry.

[5] In [13, 15] a map from the axion to the closed-string sector of IIB has been proposed.

[6] This assumes vanishing flux on $\hat{P}_Q$, see [16] for generalisations.

we see that the net chirality with respect to $U(1)_{S+U}$ induced by the flux vanishes. In the four-dimensional F-theory model on P_Q, $U(1)_{S+U}$ is replaced by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry as discussed, and states along A_C and B_C both carry $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charge 1 mod 2. Clearly, there is no notion of chirality associated with this $\mathbb{Z}_2$, and this is well in agreement with the property of the flux of not inducing any $U(1)_{S+U}$ chirality already in M-theory.

III. TORSION FROM THE WEIERSTRASS FIBRATION

The second class of fibrations describing the same six-dimensional F-theory compactification is given by the Jacobian associated with the fibration P_Q [11, 12]. It takes the form of a non-generic Weierstraß model

$$P_W = y^2 - x^3 - fxz^4 - gz^6 \tag{12}$$

with $[x : y : z]$ homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{231}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f &= e_1\, e_3 - \frac{1}{3}e_2^2 - 4e_0\, e_4, \\ g &= -e_0 e_3^2 + \frac{1}{3}e_1 e_2 e_3 - \frac{2}{27}e_2^3 + \frac{8}{3}e_0 e_2 e_4 - e_1^2 e_4 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where the e_i's are given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= -c_0 + \frac{1}{4}b_0^2, \qquad e_1 = -c_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_0 b_1, \\ e_2 &= -c_2 + \frac{1}{2}b_0 b_2 + \frac{1}{4}b_1^2, \qquad e_3 = -c_3 + \frac{1}{2}b_1 b_2, \\ e_4 &= -c_4 + \frac{1}{4}b_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We focus again on a two-complex dimensional base space B_2. While P_Q and P_W have the same discriminant, their fibre structure differs in two crucial ways [11]: Unlike P_Q, the Weierstraß model does have a holomorphic zero-section $Z : z = 0$. Second, P_W exhibits non crepant-resolvable I_2-singularities over the specific locus C on B_2 over which the fibre in P_Q is a smooth I_2 fibre.

The Weierstraß model P_W is again related via a conifold transition to a smooth model $\hat{P}_W$. This resolved model can be identified with the geometry of $\hat{P}_Q$ by mapping (blowing-up) $P_W|_{c_4\equiv 0}$ to the $\mathrm{Bl}^1\mathbb{P}_{112}[4]$-fibration over B_2. The conifold transition occurs as the 2-step process

$$\hat{P}_W \to P_W|_{c_4\equiv 0} \to P_W. \tag{15}$$

As pointed out in [16, 20], the crucial difference compared to the transition relating $\hat{P}_Q$ to P_Q is that now in passing from $\hat{P}_W \to P_W|_{c_4\equiv 0}$ the fibre component B_I and, simultaneously, B_{II} shrink to zero size. Indeed the fibration structure and the intersection numbers in TABLE I allow us to deduce the Kähler cone on $\mathrm{Bl}^1\mathbb{P}_{112}[4]$ relevant for the curves in the fibre. This (part of the) Kähler form is given by

$$J = t_1\, U + t_2\, (S + U)$$

with $t_1,\, t_2 > 0$. Integrating this two-form over the curves A_I, A_{II}, B_I and B_{II} yields

$$\int_{A_I} J = t_1,\ \int_{B_I} J = 2\, t_2,\ \int_{A_{II}} J = t_1 + t_2,\ \int_{B_{II}} J = t_2\,.$$

Therefore, we can identify the blow-down to the singular quartic (6) with the limit $t_1 \to 0$, while the blow-down to the singular Weierstraß (15) corresponds to $t_2 \to 0$. What becomes massless after this shrinking are M2-branes wrapping B_I (and also those wrapping B_{II}). The M2-branes along the vanishing B_I furnish the Higgs field which acquires a VEV upon deforming the model from $P_W|_{c_4\equiv 0} \to P_W$. The states associated with B_{II} are mere spectators in this process. From TABLE I we read off that e.g. under $U(1)_U \times U(1)_{S-U}$ the Higgs field has charges $(0, 2)$. Hence, there does not exist any unimodular transformation to a different basis in which the Higgs field is charged only under one of the $U(1)$s with charge 1. As a result it breaks

$$U(1)_U \times U(1)_{S-U} \to U(1)_U \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \tag{16}$$

in contrast to (7). Thus compactification of M-theory to five dimensions on P_W does exhibit a bona fide $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. Since the Weierstraß model has a zero-section, standard duality to F-theory in six dimensions turns $U(1)_U$ into part of the six-dimensional diffeomorphism invariance and only the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry remains. As we have seen the mechanism how this $\mathbb{Z}_2$ comes about in F-theory on P_W is very different to the P_Q model.

This prompts the quest for torsional cohomology in the geometry P_W. To understand this we now analyze the conifold transition from the smooth $\hat{P}_W$ to P_W in more detail. The conifold transition occurs along the lines of the well-known general analysis of [26–28] except for some peculiarities which to the best of our knowledge have not been addressed before and which are responsible for the appearance of torsion.

On $\hat{P}_W$ the locus $C_I = \{b_2 = 0\} \cap \{c_3 = 0\}$ consists of $N = [b_2] \cdot [c_3]$ points on the base B_2 of the fibration over which the fibre factorises. Let us label the two fibre components by B_I^i and A_I^i with $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Due to the fibration structure all B_I^i are homologous to each other. This gives rise to $N - M = N - 1$ homology relations of the form $B_I^1 = B_I^j$ for $j = 2, \ldots, N$. Each of these homology relations is associated with a 3-chain Γ_{1j} with $\partial\Gamma_{1j} = B_I^1 - B_I^j$. The conifold transition first shrinks the B_I^i to zero size and then deforms them into 3-spheres S_3^i. Following the general arguments of [26–28], the 3-spheres enjoy $M = 1$ homology relations such that the number of independent spheres after the deformation is $N - 1$.

Note once more that at the same time as the B_I^i shrink, also the fibre component B_{II} over the locus C_{II} shrinks to zero size, but the deformation corresponding to switching on c_4 does not deform the resulting singularities into 3-spheres. This is just the statement that on P_W non-crepant resolvable I_2 loci in the fibre remain. We will return to the fate of these singularities later.

According to the general analysis of the conifold transition with $M = 1$, there must exist one 'magnetic' 4-cycle D which intersects each of the two-spheres B_I^i. This 4-cycle is given by the divisor S with intersection numbers

$$D \cdot B_I^i = 2. \tag{17}$$

Indeed, the rational section S wraps the entire fibre A_I^i, and the two intersection points with B_I^i are evident from FIG. 1. Importantly, the other section U does not intersect the B_I^i and therefore, since the B_I^i are fibral curves, the fibration structure guarantees that no other integer four-cycle exists intersecting the B_I^i. In particular there exists no such divisor with intersection number 1. After shrinking the B_I^i cycles to nodes and deforming them into S_3^i, each one induces a boundary on S turning it into a 4-chain. The crucial peculiarity of the conifold transition $\hat{P}_W \to P_W$ is that S intersects the two-spheres at *two* points and so they each induce a boundary of the same orientation. Thus the precise homological relation obeyed by the S_3^i is

$$2\,\Gamma = \partial \hat{D}, \qquad \Gamma = \sum_i S_3^i. \tag{18}$$

This is illustrated in FIG. 2. We therefore identify the 3-cycle Γ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ element of $\mathrm{Tor}H_3(P_W, \mathbb{Z})$. For a generic base B_2 this is the only such element and so

$$\mathrm{Tor}H_3(P_W, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2. \tag{19}$$

The argument about the boundary of the 4-chain $\hat{D}$ after the transition made crucial use of the fact that D intersects each of the shrinking 2-cycles B_I^i. Note that in addition, D also intersects the shrinking fibre component B_{II} over C_{II} as is evident from TABLE I. As will be discussed in more detail momentarily, it is possible to resolve these singularities after a suitable blow-up in the base B_2 as in [29]. This will replace the former intersection points with D by an even-dimensional cycle and thus does not induce any additional boundaries for the 4-chain $\hat{D}$ which could spoil the argument. Consistently, the general analysis of [29] shows that after the blow-up in the base and resolving, the resulting geometry possesses non-trivial torsional cohomology.

Having identified a non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ element in $\mathrm{Tor}H_3(P_W, \mathbb{Z})$ the universal coefficient theorem implies that on a smooth manifold also $\mathrm{Tor}H_2(P_W, \mathbb{Z})$ is non-trivial. In order to identify these torsional cycles consider the fully resolved $\mathrm{Bl}^1\mathbb{P}_{112}[4]$-fibration. We are interested in the homology classes of the fibre components A_I, B_I, A_{II} and B_{II}. Since there are only two homologically independent sections these four fibre components must enjoy certain homology relations. Being fibral curves they only intersect the sections S and U (in the absence of non-abelian gauge symmetries) and so these intersection numbers, as given in TABLE I, determine uniquely their homology classes. In particular we see that in homology $2B_{II} = B_I$, which means that there are 3-chains stretching between a point in the set of points C_I and two points in the set C_{II} with a boundary $2B_{II} - B_I$. See FIG. 3 for an illustration of this. Now as we perform the conifold transition over the C_I loci the B_I shrink and then are deformed as S^3s and so no longer form boundaries to these 3-chains. If we were able to perform these transitions over the C_I loci without affecting the C_{II} loci the remaining 3-chains would have a boundary $2B_{II}$ and so the B_{II} would be associated to the expected torsional 2-cycles. This is essentially the correct identification, however there is a subtlety due to the fact that necessarily the B_{II} must simultaneously shrink in order to be able to perform the deformation.

In order to see the torsional cycles on a smooth manifold we must resolve the loci C_{II}. First we note that since we have found the torsional 3-cycles explicitly, any smooth resolution implies the existence of the torsional 2-cycles identified above via the universal coefficient theorem. One way to perform the resolution is by a small resolution which would lead to a non-Kähler manifold, but as stated would be sufficient to identify the torsional cycles (see [30] for examples of this process). Another way, following [29], is by blowing up the base over the C_{II} locus and then resolving the resulting $SU(2)$ singularity over the exceptional divisor of this base blow-up T_{II}. The resulting space is not Calabi-Yau but still Kähler. Over certain points $\hat{C}_{II}$ on T_{II} the fibre will enhance to type I_3 (corresponding to $SU(2)$-matter). In this smooth geometry the torsional 2-cycles can be identified as before in terms of 3-chains stretching between the points C_I and $\hat{C}_{II}$. In the appendix we present a detailed analysis of this procedure and in particular identify the explicit components of the fibre which after the deformation become the torsional 2-cycles.

With this understanding it is worth returning to the P_Q fibration to see why this torsion is absent there. Now the divisors S and U both develop a single boundary from each of the A_I^i of opposite orientation. Therefore we can make a cycle from these two chains by gluing these boundaries forming the divisor $S + U$. The other four-chain associated to U has only a single boundary and therefore no torsion element arises. This is illustrated in FIG. 4. Note that if we chose to consider the 4-chain corresponding to $S - U$ it would indeed have 2 boundaries of the same orientation at each locus, but this would not imply torsion since there are other 4-chains, S and U, which have half the boundary of $S - U$. Consistent with this we see that the identification of the torsional 2-cycles presented in the above paragraphs is also modified because now it is the A_I components which shrink and are removed as boundaries to 3-chains associated to homology relations between the components. But this does not create a new torsion cycle but just implies in homology that $A_{II} = B_{II}$.

By Poincaré duality the existence of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional 3-cycle implies the existence of a 3-form α such that

$$2\,\alpha = d\mathrm{w}. \tag{20}$$

The non-closed 2-form w is the Poincaré dual to the 4-



FIG. 2. Figure showing the boundaries induced after the conifold transition in the Weierstraß hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{231}$. The divisor S is denoted in blue and U is denoted in green. After the transition U does not develop a boundary and therefore is associated to the five-dimensional $U(1)_U$ symmetry. On the other hand S develops two boundaries of the same orientation. The sum over all the points B_I^i for *each one* of the two boundaries illustrated gives the torsional 3-cycle associated to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry.



FIG. 3. Figure showing the 3-chains stretching between a point in the set of points C_I and two points in the set C_{II} in the resolved space. The boundary of the chain is therefore $2B_{II} - B_I$. After the deformation the boundary B_I is lost leaving a chain with a boundary $2B_{II}$ and thereby identifying B_{II} as the torsional 2-cycle.

chain $\hat{D}$ and can be interpreted as the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. Expanding the M-theory 3-form C_3 as $C_3 = A \wedge \mathrm{w} + \ldots$ gives rise to a massive $U(1)$ gauge field in five spacetime dimensions which precisely corresponds to the original $U(1)_{S-U}$ gauge symmetry after Higgsing (see [31] for a discussion of this mechanism in the context of F-theory).

We conclude by discussing the physical significance of the identified torsional cycles.[7] As alluded to already in the introduction, a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory contains a characteristic set of Wilson line operators [1]. If the theory contains physical $\mathbb{Z}_2$ electrically charge particles, these operators can be interpreted as describing the associated world-line. However, from a quantum field theoretic perspective the Wilson line operators exist even in absence of any $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged particle in the physical particle spectrum. In quantum gravity, by contrast, it is conjectured that the full lattice of possible charges is populated by (possibly massive) physical states [1]. To appreciate how this conjecture is indeed confirmed in our M/F-theoretic setting, consider the five-dimensional effective field theory associated with M-theory compactified on P_W. The Wilson line operators describe the word-line of M2-branes wrapping the identified torsional 2-cycles, which do exist as physical particles, in perfect agreement with the above conjecture. One might wonder if a modification of the geometry would be possible that gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory without such physical $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged particles. As we have seen, the torsional 2-cycles wrapped by the associated M2-branes are related to the fibre components over

[7] See [2] for an analogous analysis in four-dimensional Type II compactifications.



FIG. 4. Figure showing the boundaries induced after the conifold transition in the quartic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{112}$. The divisors S, denoted in blue, and U, denoted in green, both develop a single boundary from each of the A_I^i of opposite orientation. The two boundaries are then glued together to form the divisor $S+U$ corresponding to the remnant five-dimensional $U(1)$ symmetry.

C_{II} before the deformation. The class of C_{II} depends on the class of the coefficients c_i and b_i defining the Weierstraß model. Recall that these transform as sections of certain line bundles on the base. One might try to exploit the existing freedom in choosing these line bundles to arrange for the cohomology class of the locus C_{II} to be trivial, in which case no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged states would exist. It is easy to see by direct inspection of the coefficient classes (cf. e.g. Table 1 of [16]), however, that this also removes the Higgs field along C_I and thus destroys the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory in the first place. This is of course in agreement with the universal coefficient theorem which guarantees that $\mathrm{Tor}H_3(P_W,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}H_2(P_W,\mathbb{Z})$.

In five dimensions, the magnetic dual to an electrically charged particle is a string. In our setting these magnetic objects again exist as physical objects arising from M5-branes wrapping the 4-chain $\hat{D}$. Since $\hat{D}$ has the boundary $2\,\Gamma$, one can consider a configuration consisting of an M5-brane on the 4-chain $\hat{D}$ together with two M5-branes on Γ. This is the M-theory analogue of the configuration considered before in [28] with the important difference that here the M5-branes on the boundary of $\hat{D}$ give rise to *two* membranes in five dimensions ending on the ('magnetic') string. This again realises the expectations based on the general framework of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory described in [1]: In a four-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_k$ gauge theory, k units of flux tubes (strings) end on a magnetic monopole to turn the full configuration into a stable object, and in five dimensions the strings and magnetic monopoles become membranes and 'magnetic' strings.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the relation between discrete gauge symmetry and torsional homology in F/M-theory. A $\mathbb{Z}_k$ discrete symmetry in F-theory is associated with k isomorphism classes of inequivalent genus-one fibrations with the same Jacobian. These form the Tate-Shafarevich group associated with the Jacobian. Focusing here on $k=2$ for simplicity we have studied in detail the two different fibrations P_Q and P_W associated with the appearance of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ discrete gauge group in F-theory, where P_Q is a smooth $\mathbb{P}_{112}[4]$-fibration and P_W represents its singular Jacobian fibration in Weierstrass form [11]. Compactifications on P_Q have been studied in quite some detail recently in [11–16]. We have shown in this article that compactification of M-theory on P_Q gives rise to a fibral $U(1)$ gauge symmetry and discussed how this symmetry is lifted to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in the F-theory limit. By contrast, M-theory on P_W yields fibral gauge group $U(1)\times\mathbb{Z}_2$, of which only the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ part survives in the F-theory limit. Consistently with field theoretic expectations based on the different M-theory compactifications on P_Q and P_W, it is only on P_W that torsional homology arises. We have explicitly identified $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional 2- and 3-cycles by analyzing a birational blowup-up of P_W. On P_Q, on the other hand, torsional homology appears only in the formal sense of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional fibral 2-cycle *modulo the fiber class*. It would be interesting to explicitly generalize our analysis of the appearance of torsion to fibrations which give rise to higher discrete symmetry groups.

A rather different notion of torsion arises in the context of the Mordell-Weil group of rational sections. The Mordell-Weil group can have not only a free part corresponding to massless $U(1)$ symmetries, but also a torsional component. As conjectured in [32] and proven in [22], such Mordell-Weil torsion enforces non-simply connected non-abelian gauge groups in F-theory. Geometrically, fibrations with torsional Mordell-Weil group exhibit torsional divisors *modulo the Cartan divisors* associated with (parts of) the non-abelian gauge group [22]. Interestingly, fibrations with Mordell-Weil torsion and with discrete gauge symmetries are interchanged by mirror symmetry in the fiber [14]. This suggests an intriguing connection between the Tate-Shafarevich group underlying discrete symmetries and the torsion component of the Mordell-Weil group of rational sections, which will be exciting to further study in more detail.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Florent Baume, Iñaki García-Etxebarria, Luca Martucci, and in particular Arthur Hebecker for

very useful discussions. We are grateful to MITP Mainz for hospitality during the workshop 'String Theory and its Applications', where part of this work was carried out.

Appendix A: Blowing up the Matter Locus

As outlined in the main text, one way to identify the torsional 2-cycles in a smooth geometry is by blowing up the C_{II} locus in the base of the fibration [29]. The resulting space is birationally equivalent to the original one and therefore allows one to deduce the torsional cohomology also for the latter [11]. In this appendix we give the technical details of this procedure.

Let us begin with a simple example which we will build up to the final result. Consider the $U(1)$-restricted Tate model presented in [33] given by the hypersurface P_T in $\mathbb{P}_{231}$

$$P_T = y^2+a_1xyz+a_3yz^3-x^3-a_2x^2z^2-a_4xz^4 = 0\ . \quad \text{(A1)}$$

This fibration is a specialization of the Weierstrass model (12), (13), (14) with no double-charged singlets. It exhibits two independent sections and a set of points with conifold singularities T_{II} where matter with charge one with respect to the associated $U(1)$ symmetry resides,

$$T_{II}\ :\ a_3 = a_4 = 0\ . \quad \text{(A2)}$$

One can resolve these singularities through a blow-up in the ambient variety, involving the fibre co-ordinates by sending $(x, y) \to (xs, ys)$ and imposing the scaling relation $(x, y, s) \sim \big(\lambda^{-1}x, \lambda^{-1}y, \lambda s\big)$. The blowup divisor $S:\ s = 0$ acts as a rational section, in addition to the zero section $Z:\ z = 0$. The resulting manifold is smooth and over the locus $a_3 = a_4 = 0$ the fibre is of type I_2.

Let us now consider starting from the singular fibration but instead of resolving we blow up the base over the locus T_{II} by sending $(a_3, a_4) \to (a_3t, a_4t)$ and introducing the relation $(a_3, a_4, t) \sim \big(\lambda^{-1}a_3, \lambda^{-1}a_4, \lambda t\big)$. The resulting geometry now has an $SU(2)$ singularity over the exceptional divisor

$$T\ :\ t = 0. \quad \text{(A3)}$$

Note that after this replacement t does not factor from P_T, which means that the proper transform of the hypersurface equation has non-vanishing fist Chern class, i.e. is not Calabi-Yau any more. Nonetheless the space is Kähler and we can proceed, though dynamically this configuration is unlikely to be stable due to the absence of supersymmetry. It merely serves as a birational auxiliary geometry which allows us to identify the torsional cycles. We can resolve the $SU(2)$ singularity in the standard way of resolving non-abelian singularities over divisors by performing a second blow-up involving now the fibre coordinates $(x, y, t) \to (xs, ys, ts)$ and identifying $(x, y, t, s) \sim \big(\lambda^{-1}x, \lambda^{-1}y, \lambda^{-1}t, \lambda s\big)$. The resolved fibration takes the form

$$\hat{P}_T = y^2 + a_1xyz + a_3tyz^3 - sx^3 - a_2x^2z^2 - a_4txz^4 = 0\ . \quad \text{(A4)}$$

This is a smooth space. The fibre over a generic point on T is of type I_2 with the two components

$$\begin{aligned} A_{II} &: T \cap \hat{P}_T \cap \{C_{\text{base}}\}\ , \\ B_{II} &: S \cap \hat{P}_T \cap \{C_{\text{base}}\}\ , \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A5)}$$

where $\{C_{\text{base}}\}$ is some curve in the base intersecting intersecting T at a generic point. These two components intersect at two points.

Over two sets of special points along the divisor $t = 0$ in the base the fibre changes. The first set D_{II} corresponds to the locus $D_{II}\ :\ \ \{t = 0\} \cap \big\{4a_2 + a_1^2 = 0\big\}$. Over this locus the fibre becomes of type III. There is no symmetry enhancement or matter states associated to this locus. The second more interesting locus is given by $\hat{C}_{II}:\ \{t = 0\} \cap \big\{a_2a_3^2 - a_1a_3a_4 - a_4^2 = 0\big\}$. Over this locus of points the B components of the fibre splits into 2 components

$$B_{II}|_{\hat{C}_{II}} \to B_{II,1} + B_{II,2}. \quad \text{(A6)}$$

The fibre becomes type I_3 which signals the presence of matter transforming in the fundamental of $SU(2)$.

There are two important ways that this toy example differs from the singular Weierstraß model we are interested in. The first, quantitative, difference is that the matter point locus T_{II} in the example is very simple while the corresponding locus C_{II} in the full model (12), (13), (14) is very complicated. This makes performing the blow-up in the base, though conceptually equivalent, technically difficult. We will return to this later. The second, qualitative, difference is that in the full model there are two rather than one matter loci, C_I and C_{II}. We can proceed by blowing up $C_{II} \to T$ as in the example above. However the key point is that the resolution $(x, y, t) \to (xs, ys, ts)$ will only resolve the $SU(2)$ singularity over T but not the singularity of C_I.

We will therefore require a further resolution. Importantly this will introduce another independent homology class for the components of the fibre independent of the Cartan of the $SU(2)$. Therefore now in the Kähler cone we will have an additional degeneration possibility where the C_I locus becomes singular while the T divisor remains smooth. In this limit we can then deform the C_I locus and reach the smooth geometry with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ discrete symmetry and torsion. Alternatively we can perform the deformation first and then blow up the base in the deformed model, since the blow-up is localised away from the deformation locus this should lead to the same result.

In the main text we have identified the torsional 2-cycles by studying the intersection numbers of the sections with the resolved Weierstraß model. This is equivalent to looking at their $U(1)$ charges. The intersection of the section with the components of the fibre over the C_I locus remain unchanged by a blow-up in the base over the C_{II} locus. Indeed it is clear that the component B_I of the fibre over C_I which shrinks and is then deformed must have vanishing intersection with U, since this remains as the zero section after the deformation;

furthermore since the intersection with $S-U$ is the 6-dimensional $U(1)$ charge (of the massless Higgs), it is independentof the resolution. Therefore it must be that the shrinking component intersects S with $+2$ and so the argument for the existence of the 3-chains goes through for the blown-up base geometry as long as we can identify components of the fibre which have the same intersection numbers as B_{II} in TABLE I. Since this would mean they cannot intersect the Cartan of the $SU(2)$ they can only arise as combinations of the fibre components over the analogue of the matter points $\hat{C}_{II}$ in the full Weierstrass model P_W. They therefore will induce the 3-chains as described in the main text.

Let us now turn to applying this procedure to the full model P_W (12). As analysed in [12, 14, 16], the single-charged locus C_{II} is given by a complicated prime ideal. We shall use the particular form given in [12] where it is given by the (non-transversal) intersection of the 7 polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 =& e_1 b^4 - 2e_2 e_3 b^2 + 2e_3^3,\\
H_2 =& 2e_0 b^4 - 2e_2^2 b^2 + e_1 e_3 b^2 + 2e_2 e_3^2,\\
H_3 =& -e_1 e_2 b^2 + 2e_0 e_3 b^2 + e_1 e_3^2,\\
H_4 =& -e_1^2 b^2 + 4e_0 e_3^2,\\
H_5 =& 2e_0 e_1 b^2 + e_1^2 e_3 - 4e_0 e_2 e_3,\\
H_6 =& 4e_0^2 b^2 + e_1^2 e_2 - 4e_0 e_2^2 + 2e_0 e_1 e_3,\\
H_7 =& e_1^3 - 4e_0 e_1 e_2 + 8e_0^2 e_3 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

Here the e_i are as in (13) and we are working with the singular geometry corresponding to $c_4 \equiv 0$, which implies $e_4 = \frac{1}{4}b^2$ (after relabeling $b_2 \to b$). To blow up the zero-locus of this ideal we can introduce new coordinates f_i and t and write the blown-up space as the variety corresponding to the vanishing locus of the ideal

$$(P_W, f_1 t - H_1, \ldots, f_7 t - H_7). \tag{A8}$$

We further impose the scaling relation associated to the new coordinate t

$$(f_1, f_2, ..., t) \sim \left(\lambda f_1, \lambda f_2, ..., \lambda^{-1} t\right) \ . \tag{A9}$$

We can then resolve the $SU(2)$ singularity over $T: \ t = 0$ as before by $(x, y, t) \to (xr, yr, tr)$ and by imposing $(x, y, t, r) \sim \left(\lambda^{-1}x, \lambda^{-1}y, \lambda^{-1}t, \lambda r\right)$. The resulting space is now smooth over T with an I_2 fibre over a generic point, while over certain points in T, denoted $\hat{C}_{II}$, the fibre will factorise to an I_3. The exceptional divisor $R: \ r = 0$ forms the Cartan of the $SU(2)$ on the Coulomb branch.

We can perform this blow-up and resolution in the deformed geometry P_W which directly gives the final smooth space with torsion cycles. This simply amounts to dropping the restriction $e_4 = \frac{1}{4}b^2$. However to identify the torsional 2-cycles using the arguments presented in the main text we need to work with the resolved geometry over C_{II}. Since the blow-up in the base is localised away from the locus C_I, it does not affect this locus. The crucial information is the intersection numbers of the sections with the fibre components over the points $\hat{C}_{II}$. These will allow us to identify the 3-chains that will, after the deformation, become the chains with a boundary of twice the torsional two-cycles.

In principle this analysis can be done by using the computer package SINGULAR [34], leading to a globally valid blowup and resolution of the singularities over C_{II}. However, it is more instructive to perform a local analysis of the fibre over the C_{II} which will be sufficient to extract the relevant intersection numbers with the sections. Our approach is to consider the locus given by $H_6 = H_7 = 0$. This can be shown, by a prime decomposition, to be composed of the locus C_{II} and the separate set of points $e_0 = e_1 = 0$. We will ignore these points in our local analysis though they would lead to $SU(2)$ singularities over points in the base after the blow-up. Indeed since the set of points C_{II} does not intersect the curve $e_0 = 0$ [12], we can restrict our attention to the subset $e_0 \neq 0$, where in particular we can allow for functions meromorphic in e_0. We can now explicitly solve the two equations

$$f_6 t - H_6 = 0 \ , \quad f_7 t - H_7 = 0 \ , \tag{A10}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
e_3 &= \frac{-e_1^3 + 4e_0 e_1 e_2 + f_7 t}{8e_0^2}, \\
b^2 &= \frac{e_1^4 - 8e_0 e_1^2 e_2 + 16 e_0^2 e_2^2 + 4e_0 f_6 t - e_1 f_7 t}{16 e_0^3} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

Since only b^2 appears in P_W we can plug this back into the equation to analyse the fibre structure explicitly. This solution is valid away from $e_0 = 0$ and also away from $b = 0$, where the coordinate change $(e_3, b) \to (f_6, f_7)$ degenerates. We now redefine

$$x \to x + \frac{\left(-3e_1^2 + 8e_0 e_2\right) z^2}{12 e_0} \tag{A12}$$

to bring the $SU(2)$ singularity over T to $x = y = 0$. Finally we resolve it by introducing $R: \ r = 0$ as $(x, y, t) \to (xr, yr, tr)$. There are then two fibre components over the exceptional divisor in the base,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{II} &: \ T \cap \hat{P}_W \cap \{C_{\text{base}}\} \ , \\
B_{II} &: \ R \cap \hat{P}_W \cap \{C_{\text{base}}\} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A13}$$

The interesting I_3 locus can be identified from the discriminant to lie on

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}_{II} \ : & \left\{-32 e_2 f_7^2 - 16 e_0^2 f_6^2 + 24 e_0 e_1 f_6 f_7 + 3 e_1^2 f_7^2 = 0\right\} \\
& \cap \{t = 0\}
\end{aligned} \tag{A14}$$

(viewed as a locus on the base), and over this locus the fibre component B_{II} splits into components

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{II,1} &: \{8 f_7 y - 8 f_6 xz - 6 e_1 f_7 xz - f_7^2 t z^3 = 0\} \cap R \cap P_{\hat{C}_{II}} \ , \\
B_{II,2} &: \{8 f_7 y + 8 f_6 xz + 6 e_1 f_7 xz + f_7^2 t z^3 = 0\} \cap R \cap P_{\hat{C}_{II}}
\end{aligned}$$

with $P_{\hat{C}_{II}}$ the divisor associated to the first polynomial in (A14). Note that we have set $e_0 = -1$ in the above for simplicity, and have given only the important component of the intersecting equations defining the fibre. The other component of the fibre over these points is

$$A_{II} : \{16rf_2^2x^3 - 16f_2^2y^2 + 16f_1^2x^2z^2 + 24e_1f_6f_7x^2z^2 + 9e_1^2f_7^2x^2z^2 = 0\} \cap T \cap P_{\hat{C}_{II}} \,. \tag{A15}$$

We can now intersect these components with the proper transform of the sections $U: \ z = 0$ and $S: \ [x, y, z] = \left[e_3^2 - \frac{2}{3}b^2e_2, -e_3^3 + b^2e_2e_3 - \frac{1}{2}b^4e_1, ib\right]$ [20], given here on the Weierstraß model before blowup and resolution, which after some calculation eventually yields the intersection numbers

$$\begin{aligned} &U \cdot A_{II} = 1 \,, \ \ U \cdot B_{II,1} = 0 \,, \ \ U \cdot B_{II,2} = 0 \,, \\ &S \cdot A_{II} = 0 \,, \ \ S \cdot B_{II,1} = 1 \,, \ \ S \cdot B_{II,2} = 0 \,. \\ &R \cdot A_{II} = 2 \,, \ \ R \cdot B_{II,1} = -1 \,, \ \ R \cdot B_{II,2} = -1 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{A16}$$

This identifies the component of the fibre which becomes the torsional 2-cycle after the deformation as $B_{II,1} - B_{II,2}$.

[1] T. Banks and N. Seiberg, "Symmetries and Strings in Field Theory and Gravity," *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 084019, arXiv:1011.5120 [hep-th].

[2] P. G. Camara, L. E. Ibanez, and F. Marchesano, "RR photons," *JHEP* **1109** (2011) 110, arXiv:1106.0060 [hep-th].

[3] M. Berasaluce-Gonzalez, L. E. Ibanez, P. Soler, and A. M. Uranga, "Discrete gauge symmetries in D-brane models," *JHEP* **1112** (2011) 113, arXiv:1106.4169 [hep-th].

[4] L. Ibanez, A. Schellekens, and A. Uranga, "Discrete Gauge Symmetries in Discrete MSSM-like Orientifolds," *Nucl.Phys.* **B865** (2012) 509–540, arXiv:1205.5364 [hep-th].

[5] M. Berasaluce-Gonzalez, P. Camara, F. Marchesano, D. Regalado, and A. Uranga, "Non-Abelian discrete gauge symmetries in 4d string models," *JHEP* **1209** (2012) 059, arXiv:1206.2383 [hep-th].

[6] P. Anastasopoulos, M. Cvetic, R. Richter, and P. K. Vaudrevange, "String Constraints on Discrete Symmetries in MSSM Type II Quivers," *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 011, arXiv:1211.1017 [hep-th].

[7] M. Berasaluce-Gonzalez, P. Camara, F. Marchesano, and A. Uranga, "Zp charged branes in flux compactifications," *JHEP* **1304** (2013) 138, arXiv:1211.5317 [hep-th].

[8] G. Honecker and W. Staessens, "To Tilt or Not To Tilt: Discrete Gauge Symmetries in Global Intersecting D-Brane Models," *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 146, arXiv:1303.4415 [hep-th].

[9] M. Berasaluce-Gonzalez, M. Montero, A. Retolaza, and A. M. Uranga, "Discrete gauge symmetries from (closed string) tachyon condensation," *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 144, arXiv:1305.6788 [hep-th].

[10] M. Berasaluce-Gonzlez, G. Ramrez, and A. M. Uranga, "Antisymmetric tensor Z_p gauge symmetries in field theory and string theory," *JHEP* **1401** (2014) 059, arXiv:1310.5582 [hep-th].

[11] V. Braun and D. R. Morrison, "F-theory on Genus-One Fibrations," *JHEP* **1408** (2014) 132, arXiv:1401.7844 [hep-th].

[12] D. R. Morrison and W. Taylor, "Sections, multisections, and U(1) fields in F-theory," arXiv:1404.1527 [hep-th].

[13] L. B. Anderson, I. Garca-Etxebarria, T. W. Grimm, and J. Keitel, "Physics of F-theory compactifications without section," arXiv:1406.5180 [hep-th].

[14] D. Klevers, D. K. M. Pena, P.-K. Oehlmann, H. Piragua, and J. Reuter, "F-Theory on all Toric Hypersurface Fibrations and its Higgs Branches," arXiv:1408.4808 [hep-th].

[15] I. Garca-Etxebarria, T. W. Grimm, and J. Keitel, "Yukawas and discrete symmetries in F-theory compactifications without section," arXiv:1408.6448 [hep-th].

[16] C. Mayrhofer, E. Palti, O. Till, and T. Weigand, "Discrete Gauge Symmetries by Higgsing in four-dimensional F-Theory Compactifications," arXiv:1408.6831 [hep-th].

[17] A. Karozas, S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris, and A. Meadowcroft, "Discrete Family Symmetry from F-Theory GUTs," *JHEP* **1409** (2014) 107, arXiv:1406.6290 [hep-ph].

[18] E. Witten, "Nonperturbative superpotentials in string theory," *Nucl.Phys.* **B474** (1996) 343–360, arXiv:hep-th/9604030 [hep-th].

[19] A. Grassi, J. Halverson, and J. L. Shaneson, "Non-Abelian Gauge Symmetry and the Higgs Mechanism in F-theory," arXiv:1402.5962 [hep-th].

[20] D. R. Morrison and D. S. Park, "F-Theory and the Mordell-Weil Group of Elliptically-Fibered Calabi-Yau Threefolds," *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 128, arXiv:1208.2695 [hep-th].

[21] V. Batyrev and M. Kreuzer, "Intergral cohomology and miror symmetry for Calabi-Yau 3-folds," arXiv:alg-geom/0505432 [alg-geom].

[22] C. Mayrhofer, D. R. Morrison, O. Till, and T. Weigand, "Mordell-Weil Torsion and the Global Structure of Gauge Groups in F-theory," *JHEP* **1410** (2014) 16, arXiv:1405.3656 [hep-th].

[23] A. P. Braun, A. Collinucci, and R. Valandro, "G-flux in F-theory and algebraic cycles," *Nucl.Phys.* **B856** (2012) 129–179, arXiv:1107.5337 [hep-th].

[24] S. Krause, C. Mayrhofer, and T. Weigand, "Gauge Fluxes in F-theory and Type IIB Orientifolds," *JHEP* **1208** (2012) 119, arXiv:1202.3138 [hep-th].

[25] K. Intriligator, H. Jockers, P. Mayr, D. R. Morrison, and M. R. Plesser, "Conifold Transitions in M-theory on Calabi-Yau Fourfolds with Background Fluxes," *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **17** (2013) 601–699, arXiv:1203.6662 [hep-th].

[26] A. Strominger, "Massless black holes and conifolds in

string theory," *Nucl.Phys.* **B451** (1995) 96–108, arXiv:hep-th/9504090 [hep-th].

[27] B. R. Greene, D. R. Morrison, and A. Strominger, "Black hole condensation and the unification of string vacua," *Nucl.Phys.* **B451** (1995) 109–120, arXiv:hep-th/9504145 [hep-th].

[28] B. R. Greene, D. R. Morrison, and C. Vafa, "A Geometric realization of confinement," *Nucl.Phys.* **B481** (1996) 513–538, arXiv:hep-th/9608039 [hep-th].

[29] M. Dolgachev, I. Gross, "Elliptic Three-folds I: Ogg-Shafarevich Theory," *J. Algebraic Geom.* **3** (1994) 38–80, arXiv:alg-geom/9210009 [alg-geom].

[30] P. S. Aspinwall, D. R. Morrison, and M. Gross, "Stable singularities in string theory," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **178** (1996) 115–134, arXiv:hep-th/9503208 [hep-th].

[31] T. W. Grimm, M. Kerstan, E. Palti, and T. Weigand, "Massive Abelian Gauge Symmetries and Fluxes in F-theory," *JHEP* **1112** (2011) 004, arXiv:1107.3842 [hep-th].

[32] P. S. Aspinwall and D. R. Morrison, "Nonsimply connected gauge groups and rational points on elliptic curves," *JHEP* **9807** (1998) 012, arXiv:hep-th/9805206 [hep-th].

[33] T. W. Grimm and T. Weigand, "On Abelian Gauge Symmetries and Proton Decay in Global F-theory GUTs," *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 086009, arXiv:1006.0226 [hep-th].

[34] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, "SINGULAR 3-1-6 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations." http://www.singular.uni-kl.de, 2012.